

German Center for Mathematics Teacher Education



Developing understanding for procedures through mathematical connections

Design research for mathematics classrooms and teacher professional development



Math wars never end?

For low-performing students, we should concentrate to develop procedural fluency And an important learning goal is the conceptual understanding Also for procedures

No contradíction, we must connect both, in particular for low-performing students

Conceptual Understanding Strategic Productive Competence Disposition Adaptive Procedural Reasoning Fluency Intertwined Strands of Proficiency

(Kilpatrick, Swafford, Findel 2001)

Agenda for the talk

- 1. Two examples for introducing the idea of connecting procedures with understanding
- 2. Mastering Math a design research project for fostering students' basic concepts in Grade 5-7
- 3. Mastering Math a PD design research project for empowering teachers to foster students' basic concepts
- 4. Looking back on implementation strategies for bringing instructional innovations into classrooms

Introductory example: Multiply by 10



Question 1: www.menti.com with code 6616 5183 or QR Code:



Mentimeter

Introductory example: Multiply by 10



Question 1: www.menti.com with code 6616 5183 or QR Code:



🞽 Mentimeter

Question 2: www.menti.com with code 6862 2439 or QR Code:





Introductory example: Multiply by 10 – Answers in Zeist at Panama Conference



Introductory example: How do German teachers answer?





Teachers' short-term and long-term oriented practices



Superficial teaching optimized for immediate task completion in short-term orientation

Simple procedure leads to quick solutions but is not usable for decimal numbers

unconnected from underlying basic concepts

Deep learning optimized for progress along a learning trajectory in long-term orientation

Also a simple procedure, but usable for natural and decimal numbers

and connected to basic concepts, here:

- place value understanding with base-ten unitizing
- meaning of multiplication as counting in units

Longitudinal connections in the spiral curriculum as a challenge for teachers



Understanding of basic concepts is crucial for long-term learning progressions



2 Tens are 2 x 10

Second example: Algebraic transformation error

Activity for teachers:

This is a typical error of my former student Lea (15 year old):

 $10n + 3 = 13n_{f}$

To what would **you** refer for working with Lea on her error in transforming expressions?

I would explain that we always add 'like terms' or same terms together and 10n and 3 are not the same.

Yes, absolutely! But to what do you connect to explain why?



(Padlet entry of teachers participating in a PD in May 2022 of S. Prediger)

Work on making expressions understandable. Often, the letters are confusing, as not yet understood as place holders

Teachers' short-term and long-term oriented practices



Unfaithful analogies not reflecting the mathematical structures destroy more than they help

(Resnick & Clement, 1981; Chick 2009)

Developing sustainable understanding requires basic concepts



Longitudinal connections in the spiral curriculum as a challenge for teachers



What do we learn from the two examples?

And others have found, too

van den Heuvel Panhuizen 2003 Kilpatrick et al. 2001 Spaull 2013 "vertically demarcated"

Kilpatrick et al. 2001 Baroody, Fell, Johnson 2007 Glade & Prediger 2017

Hiebert & Carpenter 1992

Askew 2019 Prediger & Buró 2021

Moser Opitz 2007, Maccini et al. 2007 Taylor, Muller, Vinjevold 2003

Slavin & Madden 1989 Moser Opitz et al. 2017 Prediger et al. 2019

Boaler 2002 Wilhelm et al. 2007

Herbst 2003 Watson & de Geest 2005 Prediger & Buró 2021

Karsenty 2010 Prediger et al. 2022

is highly cumulative as higher learning contents are grounded on basic concepts in longitudinal learning trajectories

• in particular, procedures in higher grades need to be justified and grounded in basic concepts of earlier grades

Mathematics learning of at-risk students

Mathematics

- learning with understanding means connecting to already known ideas
- is necessarily reduced to superficial procedural learning if the basic concepts are not sufficiently acquired
- at-risk students often had too limited learning opportunities for basic concepts in earlier grades
- need second chances for learning basic concepts in later grades

Mathematics teaching of many teachers

- in particular for at-risk students, teaching practices are often mainly procedural with too low cognitive demands
- often shaped by superficial teaching practices optimized for immediate task completion in short-term orientation
- but: teachers' practices and orientations can be promoted and supported for developing long-term oriented practices



Agenda for the talk

- 1. Two examples for introducing the idea of connecting procedures with understanding
- 2. Mastering Math a design research project for fostering students' basic concepts in Grade 5-7



- 3. Mastering Math a PD design research project for empowering teachers to foster students' basic concepts
- 4. Looking back on implementation strategies for bringing instructional innovations into classrooms

Big questions for design researchers



Overall aim of the Mastering Math project (ongoing since 2010)

Provide productive learning opportunities for students and teachers for basic arithmetic concepts to be understood in Grade 5-7 (some of them from Grade 2-4)

Classroom level

Design Research questions on the classroom level

- What do students have to learn?
- How can they learn it in an intervention, and what hinders them to learn it?
- Is the instruction really effective for students' learning gains?

Curriculum Material in 45 modules in 20 topics

Natural numbers



N1	Place value understanding with base-ten blocks and place value table
N2	Place value understanding on the number line
N3	Understanding addition and subtraction in multiple representations and self-written word problems
N4	Understanding multiplication and division in multiple representations and self-written word problems
N5/6	Concept-based calculation strategies based on number sense
N7/8	Written algorithms

Fractions and decimal numbers

Word problems measurement percentages

	\bigcirc	B1	Understanding fractions in part-whole-relationship (simple fractions and shares of sets)
Macher (Mana)		B2	Understanding equivalence of fractions
können		B3	Understanding order of fractions
	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	B4	Calculation with fractions
Briefers, Presimente, Desimatication	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline + \cdots & + \cdots \\ 0 & 0,5 & 1 \end{array}$	D1	Place value understanding for decimal numbers
		D2	Understanding order of decimal numbers
Mathe		D3	Understanding addition and subtraction of decimal numbers
Meghfer Lame	0% 70%	D4	Understanding multiplication and division of decimal numbers
	0,2	DB	Understanding the connection of decimal numbers and fractions
and an an an and a second seco			
	0% 70%	S3	Understanding and calculating percentages
		S5	Cracking word problems with comprehension strategies

...





Selter, C., Prediger, S., Nührenbörger, M., & Hußmann, S. (Eds.). (2014). Mathe sicher können – Natürliche Zahlen. Förderbausteine und Handreichungen für ein Diagnose- und Förderkonzept zur Sicherung mathematischer Basiskompetenzen. Cornelsen. Open Educational Ressource. http://mathe-sicher-koennen.dzlm.de/002.

Curriculum Material in 45 modules with three parts, each



N1 Place value understanding



Formative Assessment (10 min, 2-3 diagnostic tasks)

	Standortbestimmu	ng – Baustein N1 A	Name: Datum:			
Kann ich Zahlen mit Material lesen und darstellen?						
1	Zahlen mit Material darst	cellen				
	Zeichne das Bild zu der Zah	1.				
	Zahl	Bild				
	2 178					
	1 1 6 4					
	2 086			e leetr		
	3 003					
2 a)	Stellenwerte darstellen Trage die Zahl in die Steller	tafel ein und schreibe sie auf.		n – Handreichungen G		
	Bild	Stellenta	fel Zahl	lature		
		T H Z 3 7	E 5 375	as Mathe sicher		
		T H Z	E	coptervedage a		
		T H Z	Е			
		T H Z	E			
b)	Zu der Zahl 223 kommen 3 Zeichne sie, trage sie in die 5	Zehner dazu. Welche Zahl ist e: Stellentafel ein und schreibe si	s jetzt? e auf.			
	Bild	Stellentai	fel Zahl			
		T H Z	E	8		

Teacher guide for interpretation (including backgrounds)

Item in the formative assessment Trage in die Stellentafel ein und schreibe die Zahl auf. Stellentafel Zahl 3 Tausender, 1 Zehner, 10 Einer T H Z E 20 Hunderter, 4 Zehner T H Z E 20 Hunderter, 4 Zehner T H Z E 20 G 4 G 20 QQQ 6 Tausender, 2 Hunderter, 42 Zehner, 5 Einer T H Z E 6 G 2 Y Z 6 G 2 S

Translation from German: Fill in the place value table and write the number. 3 thousands, 1 ten, 10 ones 20 hundreds, 4 tens 6 thousands, 2 hundreds, 42 tens, 5 ones

Analytic support provided in the teacher guide (translated from German)

Typical answers for (c)	Interpretation	Suggested starting point
62425, e.g., "There are 6 T, 2 H, 42 Z, 5 E, thus 62425"	Place value of digits not considered	Discuss place values of digits, connect to enactive representation in Task 2.1
6607, e.g., "You have to pay attention to the hundreds and the tens"	Recognized the difficult aspect but does not yet know how to solve it.	Revise dealing with bundles of tens, see Task 2.2a
6247, e.g., "Well, the 6 to T, the 2 to H, the 42 must be split, the 4 to Z (tens), and the 2 + 5 to E (ones)"	Decomposition of 42 tens into 4 tens and 2 ones	Decompose with enactive material, in Task 2.2b
6620, e.g., "42 Z are 420"	Got the main point but overlooked the 5 ones	Just focus on forgotten ones, system is most probably understood

Teaching material (2-3 pages for every diagnostic task)



Methodology: Topic-specific Design Research

Dual Aims

Working Areas of topic-specific Design Research



Prediger, S., & Zwetzschler, L. (2013). Topic-specific design research with a focus on learning processes: The case of understanding algebraic equivalence in grade 8.

In T. Plomp & N. Nieveen (Eds.), Educational Design Research: Illustrative Cases (pp. 407-424). SLO, Netherlands Institute for Curriculum Development.

Prediger, S., Gravemeijer, K., & Confrey, J. (2015). Design research with a focus on learning processes – an overview on achievements and challenges. ZDM – Mathematics Education, 47(6), 877–891 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-015-0722-3

Design Research on the classroom level for Mastering Math



Design Research Cycles on Meaning of Multiplication





structure of functional relationships (Prediger & Zindel 2017) and diffferent of • amount and change (Prediger & Şahin-Gür 2020)

•

structure of variables as unknowns and generalizers (Prediger & Krägeloh 2015) •

Design Research Cycles on Meaning of Multiplication



Understand meaning of multiplication as counting in units in dot arrays **Conceptual focus** 3 x 5 Student focus It fits as I see Enhance communication 3 groups of 5 Specify and Topic-specific academic Develop structure language in meaningarticulation by meaning-related phrases the design learning contents related phrases Generate Conduct and local theories analyze design on teaching and experiments learning processes

to avoid superficial learning? Connect multiple representations

with a focus on structures Allow deep insights into student thinking by rich diagnostic tasks Engage students in rich discourse practices and provide language support for their

3 x 5

3 groups of 5

222

Connecting representations requires to make mathematical structures

Articulating structures is hard for some students as they do not have the meaning-related phrases

Design Research Cycles on Meaning of Multiplication





Connect multiple representations with a focus on structures

Allow deep insights into student thinking by rich diagnostic tasks

Engage students in rich discourse practices and provide language support for their articulation by meaning-related phrases

Connecting requires to make the mathematical structures explicit Articulating structures is hard for some students as they do not have the meaning-related phrases

(Prediger 2022CERME Plenary for Fractions, Prediger 2019 in Lernen & Lernstörung for multiplication)

Practical outcomes of Design Research



Typical dual outcomes of Design Research



Prediger, S. (2019). Theorizing in Design Research: Methodological reflections on developing and connecting theory elements for language-responsive mathematics classrooms. *Avances de Investigación en Educación Matemática*, *8*(15), 5-27. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.35763/aiem.v0i15.265

Empirical evidence for effectiveness in a quasi-experimental field trial (2016)

Research Question: Can we implement the Mastering Math intervention in real classrooms with regular teachers?

Initial sample

61 schools, ~ 120 mathematics teachers N = 5785 fifth graders (all achievement ranges)

Sample of the field trial

881 at-risk students selected by low pretest score and fostered in small group sessions (~ 30 times, 40 min each)

Results

Classroom level

Yes, we can!

Mastering Math Intervention Group has significantly higher learning gains in understanding of basic concept and skills than Control Group

But: substantial differences between teachers

→ further PD research needed to improve targetedness of teachers' PD program

Teacher PD level

Dependent variable Scores in basic arithmetics (understanding & skills) 31 30 **Mastering Math Intervention Group** 29 11/ n = 592 at-risk students, taught with Mastering Math 28 (Effect size d = 1.24) 27 26 25 24 23 **Control Group:** 22 n = 389 at-risk students, taught with other remediation programs 21 (Effect size d = 0.89) 20 19 18 ANOVA: F_{Time} = 1246.6 p < .001 $F_{Group} = 3.18 \quad p = .08 \text{ n.s.}$ 17 $F_{Group x time} = 31.26$ p < .001, $\eta^2 = .031$ 16 15 Start of Grade 5 End of Grade 5

(Prediger, Fischer, Selter, & Schöber, 2019, in Educational Studies in Mathematics, 102(3), 361-378).

Agenda for the talk

- 1. Two examples for introducing the idea of connecting procedures with understanding
- 2. Mastering Math a design research project for fostering students' basic concepts in Grade 5-7
- 3. Mastering Math a PD design research project for empowering teachers to foster students' basic concepts



4. Looking back on implementation strategies for bringing instructional innovations into classrooms

Big questions for design researchers



Overall aim of the Mastering Math project (ongoing since 2010)

Provide productive learning opportunities for students and teachers for basic arithmetic concepts to be understood in Grade 5-7 (some of them from Grade 2-4)

Classroom level

Design Research questions on the classroom level

- What do students have to learn?
- How can they learn it in an intervention, and what hinders them to learn it?
- Is the instruction really effective for students' learning gains?

Teacher PD level

Design Research questions on the teacher PD level

- What do teachers have to learn?
- How can they learn it in PD programs and what hinders them to learn it?
- Is the learning really effective for teachers' professional growth?

Working areas for content-related Design Research on the PD level



Research

Prediger, S. (2019). Investigating and promoting teachers' pathways towards expertise for language-responsive mathematics teaching. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, *31*(4), 367–392. doi:10.1007/s13394-019-00258-1





Teacher expertise for monitoring and enhance students' understanding requires PCK categories for deeply focusing mathematical structures Preparatory PD activities:

- introduce / revise basic concepts
- analyze student's ideas captured in given formative assessment tasks
- try and reflect the tasks and visuals in the materials

Teachers like using dot arrays (as other visuals), but reflection about multiplication does not reach depth (Venkat & Askew 2018)

both correct?



unitizing structure rarely articulated

Teachers' PCK categories while explaining meanings



Written answers of 102 highly motivated mathematics teachers volunteering at the beginning of an online PD in Cycle 5 (May/Sep 2022)



Summary: German mathematics teachers do a better job than the teachers observed by Mholo, Venkat, Schäfer (2012) in using and explaining the connection of representations but still, we want them to be more explicit



Preparatory PD activities to





Preparatory PD activities to

- unpack basic concepts with their structures and articulations
- analyze student's ideas captured in given formative assessment tasks
- relate tasks and visuals to students' ideas and discuss relevant language means

Offered language was only partially adopted in teachers' enhancement practices



Teacher need stronger

focus on mathematical structures

and more reflection about meaning-related language as an informal but concise language about structures



Preparatory PD activities to

- unpack basic concepts with their structures and articulations
- analyze student's ideas captured in given formative assessment tasks
- relate tasks and visuals to students' ideas
- discuss videos of enhancement practices also w.r.t. relevant language means



Teacher need stronger focus on mathematical structures and more explicit video models for using meaning-related language



Design Experiment Cycle 4: Include very explicit video model



Task: Find an operation for this chocolate image

Rayan: 5 times 5

Teacher: Show me where you see the fives?

Rayan: Here

Teacher: But there is one field in both fives? Rayan: Then, I must put it here

How would you react?

Teacher: Let us count the sets of fives



	*/	1	1	*
4				
M				
4				
1				

How does the teacher enhance students' deep understanding?

- supports mental unitizing in fives ("5er")
- meaning-related language supported by drawing and gestures as well as phrases



(Prediger 2019)

Changes in teachers' explanations of meanings (in Cycle 5)





Video cases can be highly valuable for deepening teachers' content-related and language-related experiences and changing their practices

(Blomberg et al. 2013)

Preparatory PD activities to

- unpack basic concepts with their structures and articulations
- analyze student's ideas captured in given formative assessment tasks
- relate tasks and visuals in the written enhancement materials to students' ideas
- discuss videos of enhancement practices also w.r.t. relevant language means



Offered language was substantially adopted



Main contribution of this project to generating theory

Unpacking the PD content in a model of content-related teacher expertise Several practices for mastering the three jobs in different orientations

		Specify learning content	Monitor students' learning progress	Enhance students' understanding
	Orientations	(in basic concepts)	(in basic concepts)	(of basic concepts)
Compass	Diagnostic orientation OR	Adaptive goal- setting practice	(Takes monitoring seriously)	(Enhance with adaptive learning goal, see left)
	Syllabus-bound orientation	Syllabus-bound goal-setting practice	(Monitoring not necessary)	(Instruction of syllabus, see left)
Content	Conceptual orientation OR	Conceptual goal-setting practice	Conceptual diagnostic practices	Conceptual enhancement practice
	Procedural orientation	Procedural goal-setting practice	Procedural diagnostic practices	Procedural enhancement practice
Goal	Long-term orientation OR	Long-term foundation practices	Diagnostic practices with focus on foundations	Enhancement practices aiming at learning progress
	Short-term orientation	Short-term repair practices (focus current content)	Diagnostic practices with focus on current content	Compensation practices aiming at task completion
Pedagogy	Communicative orientation OR	(Required for conceptual learning content)	(Oral formative assessment in talk)	Communicative pedagogy
	Individualized orientation	(Realizable only for proce- dural learning content)	(Only written formative assessment)	Individualized pedagogy
Focus	Depth and correctness of addressed categories	Unpacking practices to varying degrees	Targeted diagnostic practices (with varying degrees of correctness)	(Targeted and adaptive enhancement practices)



Only after some PD design research cycles, the PD content is concisely specified,

so that we can construct good pre and post tests on teachers' PCK and practices for an evaluation study

Prediger, S. (in press). Using and developing content-related theory elements for explaining and promoting teachers' professional growth in collaborative groups. In H. Borko & D. Potari (Eds.), *Teachers of mathematics working and learning in collaborative groups. ICMI study*. Dordrecht: Springer.

Prediger, S., Dröse, J., Stahnke, R., & Ademmer, C. (2022, online first). Teacher expertise for fostering at-risk students' understanding of basic concepts: Conceptual model and evidence for growth. Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education. doi:10.1007/s10857-022-09538-3





Wittmann 1998 Standard representations

Prediger, Barzel, Hußmann, & Leuders (submitted). Durchgängigkeit von Darstellungen und Vorstellungen für den nachhaltigen Verstehensaufbau: Spiralcurriculum praktisch gewendet.

Representations with long-term coherence



Prediger, Barzel, Hußmann, & Leuders submitted).

Consequences for the next design cycle

Longitudinal coherence as a major PD content



Further work is needed to consolidate these first observations!

With the longitudinal as explicit PD content teachers seem to better move to the long-term orientation

(so far without new quantitative evidence)



Agenda for the talk

- 1. Two examples for introducing our challenges
- 2. Mastering Math a design research project for fostering students' basic concepts in Grade 5-7
- 3. Mastering Math a PD design research project for empowering teachers to foster students' basic concepts
- 4. Looking back on implementation strategies for bringing instructional innovations into classrooms



DZLM 📴

Looking back onto a 16-year design research journey

Classroom level

How can we as DZLM influence this unproductive instructional triangles? By its fourth component!



Curriculum resources

- can support teachers in changing teaching practices
- can help to work through all relevant basic concepts

Mathematical content

- is highly cumulative with many longitudinal connections
- also procedures rely upon understanding basic concepts

Mathematics teachers

- often use superficial teaching practices optimized for immediate task completion in short-term orientation
- should adopt long-term orientations



- often have insufficient learning opportunities for basic concepts
- cannot learn successfully in Grade 5-10 without understanding the basic concepts

To multiply by 10, simply add a zero in the end

How can we as DZLM support high-quality teaching and implement instructional innovations?

Curriculum resources alone do not do good teaching

Focus on mathematics teachers is crucial (Cohen, Raudenbush, Ball 2003; Desimone 2009)



How can we as DZLM support high-quality teaching and implement instructional innovations?



(Rösken-Winter, Prediger et al. 2021 ZDM)

Three implementation strategies in the 3 Tetrahedron Model





Roesken-Winter, B., Stahnke, R., Prediger, S., & Gasteiger, H. (2021). Towards a research base for implementation strategies addressing mathematics teachers and facilitators. ZDM – Mathematics Education, 53(5). http://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01220-x



German Center for Mathematics Teacher Education



DZLM research network from 12 universities





Hedwig Gasteiger Julia Bruns U Paderborn U Osnabrück



Daniela Götze **U** Siegen



Susanne Prediger

Director of the DZLM (since 2021)

Uta Häsel-Weide U Paderborn



Karina Höveler **Christin Laschke** WWU Münster HU Berlin



Marcus Nührenbörger WWU Münster

Thomas Lange

Coordinator



Secundary schools



Bettina Rösken-Winter, HU Berlin U Duisburg-Essen

Lars Holzäpfel

PH Freiburg



Stephan HußmannUlrich Kortenkamp

TU Dortmund

Christoph Selter TU Dortmund

U Potsdam



Timo Leuders

PH Freiburg



Secundary schools



Bärbel Barzel

U Duisburg-Essen

Katrin Rolka Florian Schacht **RU Bochum** U Duisburg-Essen



Anika Dreher

PH Freiburg











Marita Friesen

PH Heidelberg







Lena Wessel

U Paderborn

Birte Friedrich

U Köln

Jürg Kramer

Founding director (2010-2020)



Three implementation strategies in the 3 Tetrahedron Model



Roesken-Winter, B., Stahnke, R., Prediger, S., & Gasteiger, H. (2021). Towards a research base for implementation strategies addressing mathematics teachers and facilitators. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, *53*(5). http://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01220-x